

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-140V

Filed: June 25, 2015

Unpublished

\*\*\*\*\*

VIRGINIA IVES,

\*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

\*

\*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

\*

\*

\*

Respondent.

\*

\*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Jeffrey S. Pop, Esq., Jeffrey S. Pop, Attorney at Law, Beverly Hills, CA, for petitioner.  
Christine M. Becer, Esq., U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC for respondent.*

### **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES**<sup>1</sup>

**Vowell**, Chief Special Master:

On February 11, 2015, Virginia Ives filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> [the “Vaccine Act” or “Program”]. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury caused by the influenza vaccine she received on September 23, 2013. Petition at 1. Petitioner also alleges that her injuries lasted more than six months and that she continues to suffer the residual effects of her injury. *Id.*, ¶ 18. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On June 16, 2015, I issued a ruling on entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for her shoulder injury related to vaccine administration [“SIRVA”]. On June 23, 2015, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation [“Proffer”] indicating petitioner should be awarded \$69,517.00 which “represents all elements of

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).” Proffer at 1.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award petitioner a lump sum payment of \$69,517.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Virginia Ives.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**s/Denise K. Vowell**  
Denise K. Vowell  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.



Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN C. MIZER  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

RUPA BHATTACHARYYA  
Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

VINCENT J. MATANOSKI  
Deputy Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

LINDA RENZI  
Senior Trial Counsel  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

s/Christine Mary Becer  
CHRISTINE MARY BECER  
Trial Attorney  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 146  
Benjamin Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146  
Tel: (202) 616-3665

Date: June 23, 2015